Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,049	PETERSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Charanjit S. Aulakh.	(3)	
(2) Jay P. Lessler.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 March 2005.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)☐ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>A telephone call was made to the applicant's attorney to inquire whether any response was filed to the office action mailed on Sep. 24, 2004. The attorney confirmed the abandonment since no response was filed.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Everying all a size	S Aulath
Attachment to a signed Office action.	⊏xaminer's sigr	nature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)